

## Telford and Wrekin Borough Council

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Richard Overton  
**E-mail:** Richard.Overton@telford.gov.uk  
**Postcode:** XXXXXXXXXX  
**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I believe the proposals for oakengates and Ketley and Ketley bank do not work well and do not take community identity into consideration, Ketley bank has always been connected to Oakengates and has nothing to do with Ketley, except the name, Ketley looks to Wellington and Ketley bank residents look to Oakengates, since being connected to oakengates I'm a 3 member ward Ketley bank residents have had a louder voice and their needs and the needs of their local centre in oakengates the borough town mean they have voices speaking for them as a community and in the town they call there centre. The same can be said about Madeley and Sutton hill where the commission has decided to split the ward, I fully believe having listened to councillors and residents there voice is so much better and their representation when connected as a ward together, Madeley and Sutton hill works well electorally in numbers abs is good that major centres like Madeley have a strong voice. The commission also have split parts of Horsehay which is against community identity and Horsehay is not part of dawley so should no be placed in that ward, moving Horsehay to Horsehay and lightmoor would be preferable in my opinion as it has good community identity but may cause electoral imbalance , so May need to look towards the Lawley ward but I think commission would be wrong to have part of this in Dawley. Having recently campaigned in Brookside I would say the lake ends drive area do we themselves as Brookside and not the nedge and also feel that low registration oils also be an issue In Thai ward and losing a councillor is quite worrying in that regard. The current Hadley and Leegomery ward so good for community identity and electoral equality so unsure why the commission thought o change it, the councils proposals are far better for Hadley and Leegomery. I think the two member wards in Wellington work well and thank the commission for these proposals as well as the proposals for Woodside and ironbridge. As for priorslee and St. Georges a hard one for the collation to deal with my personal preference would be that Snedshill stays in at Georges ward due to local community identity and the main road and that the new cloisters Estate that is currently in priorslee stays in that ward as they look to redhill for their education and services and not St. Georges although can understand why limekiln bank is proposed to come into St. Georges.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded